Case 2	:12-cv-08388-AB-FFM	Document 281	Filed	d 01/29/20	Page 1 of 30	Page ID #:6892
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Ryan H. Wu (SBN 222 Ryan.Wu@capstonela Steven R. Weinmann@ca Tarek H. Zohdy (SBN Tarek.Zohdy@capston Cody R. Padgett (SBN Cody.Padgett@capsto Capstone Law APC 1875 Century Park Ea Los Angeles, Californi Telephone: (310) 55 Facsimile: (310) 94	wyers.com (SBN 190956) apstonelawyers.c (247775) nelawyers.com V 275553) nelawyers.com st, Suite 1000 ia 90067 6-4811 3-0396				
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs					
9		UNITED STA				
10	CENTRAL I	DISTRICT OF C	CALIF	°ORNIA—	WESTERN D	IVISION
11			1			
12	OMAR VARGAS, RO BERTONE, MICHEL	JEERT LE HARRIS, at	nd		CV12-08388 A	
13	BERTONE, MICHEL SHARON HEBERLI and on behalf of a class	NG individually, is of similarly	,	~~~~~	André Birotte	
14	situated individuals,	_		OF RYAN	H. WU IN S	
15 16	Plaintiffs	8,		FOR FINA	AL APPROVAL	
10	v. FORD MOTOR COM	IDANV		Date:	February 28	
18	Defenda			Time: Place:	10:00 a.m. Courtroom	
19				Thues.	Courtooni	10
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF RYAN H. WU IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' RENEWED MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF THE CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT					

SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF RYAN H. WU

I, Ryan H. Wu, declare as follows:

3 I am an attorney licensed to practice before all courts of the State of 1. 4 California. Unless the context indicates otherwise, I have personal knowledge of the 5 facts stated in this declaration and, if called as a witness, I could and would testify 6 competently thereto. I am a partner at Capstone Law APC ("Capstone" or "Lead Class 7 Counsel"), one of the counsel of record for Plaintiffs Omar Vargas, Michelle Harris, 8 Sharon Heberling, Robert Bertone, Kevin Klipfel, Andrea Klipfel, Maureen Cusick, Eric 9 Dufour, Abigail Fisher, Christie Groshong, Virginia Otte, Tonya Patze, Lindsay 10 Schmidt, Patricia Schwennker, Patricia Soltesiz, Joshua Bruno, Jason Porterfield, and 11 Jamie Porterfield in the above-captioned action. I make this supplemental declaration in 12 support of Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion for Final Approval of the Class Action 13 Settlement, which was filed on January 24, 2020. (ECF No. 279.)

14 2. In my initial declaration supporting the Renewed Motion for Final Approval of the Class Action Settlement, I submitted, as Exhibit 2, a partially executed 15 16 Amendment of Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement ("Amendment") that was negotiated and agreed upon between Plaintiffs, Defendant Ford Motor Company 17 18 ("Ford"), and former objectors Brenda Lott, Suzanne Lutz, Carlie Olivant, Gail Slomine, 19 Philip Woloszyn and James "Jason" DeBolt (collectively "Assisting Class Members"). 20 (See ECF No. 279-1, ¶ 3.) Exhibit 2 was signed by fifteen of sixteen Plaintiffs, the corporate representative for Ford, Plaintiffs' counsel, and Ford's counsel. 21

3. I am now attaching, as Exhibit 6, the fully executed Amendment of
Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement ("Amendment"). This exhibit includes the
signatures of Plaintiff Michelle Harris, the Assisting Class Members and their respective
counsel, all of which were obtained after the filing of the Renewed Motion for Final
Approval on January 24, 2020, as well as the signatures previously submitted to the
Court as part of Exhibit 2.

28

1

2

Page 1

Case 2	:12-cv-08388-AB-FFM Document 281 Filed 01/29/20 Page 3 of 30 Page ID #:6894
1	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America
2	that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 29th day of January, 2020, at Los
3	Angeles, California.
4	
5	<u>/s/ Ryan H. Wu</u>
6	Ryan H. Wu
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
26	
27	
28	Page 2
	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF RYAN H. WU IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' RENEWED MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF THE CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT
	ALIAUVED MOTION FOR FINAL AT I ROVAL OF THE CLASS ACTION SET ILEVIENT

Case 2:12-cv-08388-AB-FFM Document 281 Filed 01/29/20 Page 4 of 30 Page ID #:6895

Exhibit 6

In the United States District Court for the Central District of California

Vargas v. Ford Motor Co Case No. 2:12-cv-08388-AB-FFM

AMENDMENT TO STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT OF SETTLEMENT

This Amendment ("Amendment") to the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement ("Settlement Agreement") is entered into by and among the named Plaintiffs Omar Vargas, Robert Bertone, Michelle Harris, Sharon Heberling, Kevin Klipfel, Andrea Klipfel, Maureen Cusick, Eric Dufour, Abigail Fisher, Christi Groshong, Virginia Otte, Tonya Patze, Lindsay Schmidt, Patricia Schwennker, and Patricia Soltesiz, Joshua Bruno, Jason Porterfield, and Jamie Porterfield (collectively, the "Named Plaintiffs" or "Class Representatives" or "Plaintiffs"), Defendant Ford Motor Company ("Ford") (collectively, the "Settling Parties"), and Objectors Brenda Lott, Suzanne Lutz, Carlie Olivant, Gail Slomine, Philip Woloszyn (collectively, the "Lott Objectors"). and James "Jason" DeBolt ("Objector DeBolt", and collectively with the Lott Objectors, the "Objectors"), by and through their respective counsel.

RECITALS

WHEREAS, on September 28, 2012, the action entitled *Omar Vargas v. Ford Motor Company* was filed in the United States District Court for the Central District of California ("*Vargas*"). The case was initially assigned to the Honorable Audrey B. Collins, given the case number 2:12-cv-08388, and subsequently transferred to the calendar of the Honorable André Birotte Jr.;

WHEREAS, the *Vargas* complaint alleged causes of action against Ford for violating California's and Florida's consumer protection laws, breach of express warranty, and breach of implied warranty under the Song-Beverly Consumer Warranty Act. The complaint alleged that the PowerShift Transmission ("Transmission" or "DPS6") installed in certain model year Ford Fiesta and Ford Focus vehicles is dangerously defective. Plaintiffs allege that the Transmission slips, bucks, kicks, and jerks, resulting in sudden or delayed acceleration of the vehicle. In the Complaint, Plaintiffs sought certification of a nationwide class of current and former owners and lessees of vehicles equipped with such transmissions;

WHEREAS, after multiple mediation sessions, Plaintiffs and Ford reached a nationwide class action settlement that the district court preliminarily approved on April 25, 2017. Following class notice mailed to nearly 2,000,000 Class Members, approximately 10,350 recipients of the class notice opted out, and fifteen Class Members, including the Objectors, objected. The Lott Objectors objected that Plaintiffs did not provide a valuation analysis of the Settlement, the benefits offered by the Settlement were inadequate, the qualifications for obtaining benefits were onerous, and the Arbitration Program (as that term is defined by the Settlement Agreement) would not benefit Ford consumers as much as individual litigation would, among other objections. Objector DeBolt objected to the scope of the release, the sufficiency of the benefits, the form of the notice, and the attorneys' fee request.

WHEREAS, the district court issued a Final Order and Judgment approving the Settlement Agreement, awarding attorneys' fees in full, and overruling all objections. Following appeals by the Objectors, a split Ninth Circuit panel issued a memorandum decision on September 13, 2019, vacating the Final Order and Judgment and remanding the matter for a "more searching inquiry" pursuant to *Allen v. Bedolla*, 787 F.3d 1218, 1224 (9th Cir. 2015), finding that the district court's order did not provide sufficient analysis for substantive appellate review.

WHEREAS, the Settling Parties and Objectors participated in a mediation on December 9, 2019, conducted by Professor Eric Green of Resolutions LLC, and, as a result of arms'-length negotiations, reached a settlement that resolves Objectors' objections, it is hereby agreed by Ford, by Plaintiffs, and by the Objectors as follows:

1. The Lott Objectors and Objector DeBolt shall withdraw their objections to the Settlement.

- 2. Ford and Plaintiffs acknowledge that the Lott Objectors and Objector DeBolt contributed substantially to the improvements to the Settlement contained in this Amendment. The Lott Objectors successfully pursued increased benefits for both present and former owners, and Objector DeBolt successfully pursued increased benefits for former owners.
- 3. Ford will not oppose a request by the Lott Objectors and by Objector DeBolt that it pay an award of attorney fees to their counsel, provided (a) the award does not exceed 1.2 times their verified,

reasonable lodestar, plus verified costs; (b) as to the Lott Objectors the award does not exceed \$348,004; and (c) as to Objector DeBolt the amount does not exceed \$98,340.

- 4. Ford will not oppose a request by the Lott Objectors and Objector DeBolt that it pay a service award of \$5,000 to each of the Lott Objectors and to Objector DeBolt.
- 5. References to "Approval Date" in Sections II.D.3, II.F, II.G, and II.N.1.c shall be replaced with references to the "Effective Date" as redefined in this Amendment.
- 6. Section I.R of the Settlement Agreement is amended to read as follows:

"Effective Date of Settlement" or "Effective Date" means the first business day after: (1) the Court enters the Final Order and Judgment, in all material respects similar to the form attached hereto as Exhibit F to the Amendment to Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement; and (2) all appellate rights with respect to the Final Order and Judgment have expired or have been exhausted in such a manner as to affirm the Final Order and Judgment. If any appeal has been taken from the Final Approval Order, the "Effective Date" means the date on which all appeals therefrom, including petitions for rehearing or reargument, petitions for rehearing en banc and petitions for a writ of certiorari or any other form of review, have been fully disposed of in a manner that affirms the Final Approval Order. An appeal that challenges only attorneys' fees, costs, or service awards shall extend the Effective Date only with respect to such attorneys' fees, costs, or service awards.

7. Section I.S of the Settlement Agreement is amended to read as follows:

The "Fairness Hearing" means the final hearing, held after the Preliminary Approval Order is issued in which the Court will determine whether this Settlement Agreement as amended should be finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate, and whether the proposed Final Order and Judgment should be entered, and if so, to determine the amount of attorneys' fees and costs to be awarded to Class Counsel and Objectors' counsel.

8. Section II.EE is added to immediately follow Section II.E.4.c.

II.EE. Cash Payments For Customers Turned Away By Ford Dealers.

1. A one-time cash payment of \$20 shall be paid to any Class Member who (a) has not received cash payments or Vehicle Discount Certificates under Sections II.B or II.C and (b) submits a declaration under penalty of perjury attesting that he or she experienced Transmission problems and sought relief within 7 years or 100,000 miles of delivery of the Class Vehicle to the first retail customer (whichever occurs first), that a Ford Dealer refused to make hardware or software repairs because the Dealer claimed there was nothing wrong with the vehicle, and that the Ford Dealer did not subsequently make hardware or software repairs. The declaration must identify the VIN of the Class Vehicle, the Ford Dealer, and the date on which the Class Member was turned away to receive payment. A form for submitting such claims shall be made available to Class Members on the Settlement Website.

2. All claims for a cash payment under this section arising from a refused repair prior to the Effective Date must be submitted online or postmarked within 180 days of the Effective Date. For refused repairs that occur after the Effective Date, the claim for payment must be submitted online or postmarked within 180 days of the refused repair for which a cash payment is sought. Neither the Claims Administrator nor Ford shall have any obligation to pay any claims pursuant to Section II.EE that are not timely submitted.

3. If a class member receives a \$20 cash payment under this section and later makes a valid claim for a cash payment or Vehicle Discount Certificate under Sections II.B or II.C, the Claims Administrator shall deduct \$20 from the amount otherwise payable under Sections II.B or II.C.

Sections II.H, II.I, and II.K shall apply to claims made pursuant to this Section.

9. Section II.FF is added to the Settlement Agreement to immediately follow Section II.F.7:

FF. Guaranteed Minimum Payment.

<u>1. Ford will pay a minimum sum of \$30,000,000 for the benefits provided for in</u> Sections II.B, II.C, and II.EE of the Settlement ("Guaranteed Minimum Payout").

2. Within thirty days after October 21, 2024, the Claims Administrator shall calculate and submit to Ford and Class Counsel the Total Payment Amount, which will be the sum of the total dollar value of all prepaid cards issued pursuant to Sections II.B, II.C, and II.EE, plus the total face value of all Vehicle Discount Certificates issued under Section II.C. If the Total Payment Amount is less than the Guaranteed Minimum Payout, that difference ("the Residue") shall be distributed equally to each Class Member who submitted a valid claim under Sections II.B, II.C or II.EE or who received a payment from Ford after providing Notice of Intent to arbitrate pursuant to Section II.N.4. In the event the per capita amount of the residual payment would be less than \$5.00, the Residue shall be distributed only to Class Members who submitted a valid claim pursuant to Section II.C. If the per capita amount of the residual payment remains less than \$5.00, the Residue shall be distributed to a *cy pres* beneficiary to be determined jointly by Class Counsel and Ford.

<u>3. Prior to distributing the residual payment, the Claims Administrator shall</u> perform a reasonable search (via the National Change of Address Database) for a current name and/or address of all Class Members who are entitled to a residual payment.

4. If any dispute arises regarding Ford's obligations to fulfill the Guaranteed Minimum Payout, and the parties cannot, after good faith negotiations, reach a resolution, the Settling Parties shall submit a joint report to the Court describing the dispute, summarizing each party's positions. The Court shall make a final determination with respect to the dispute.

5. Payments to Class Members made pursuant to this section shall be made by prepaid cards as provided in Section II.K. The unused value of any prepaid cards issued pursuant to this section shall be distributed to the *cy pres* beneficiary designated by Ford and Plaintiffs.

- 10. Section II.N.1.b of the Settlement Agreement is deleted.
- 11. Section II.N.1.c of the Settlement Agreement is amended to read as follows:

Except as provided in Section II.N.1.e, as amended, Class Members who, prior to the Approval Effective Date, have sold their Class Vehicles or returned leased Class Vehicles will only be entitled to arbitration of claims for Vehicle Repurchases based in whole or in part on alleged defects in the PowerShift Transmission in those Class Vehicles if-(1) the lemon law of the state where the Arbitration Claimant took delivery of the vehicle allows vehicle owners or lessees to pursue Vehicle Repurchase claims after they have sold or returned their vehicles; and (2) the request for Arbitration is filed before the expiration of the applicable state statute of limitations for such a claim or 180 days after the Approval Date, whichever is earlier.

Class Members who sell Class Vehicles or return leased Class Vehicles on or after the Approval Date will only be entitled to arbitration of claims for Vehicle Repurchases based in whole or in part on alleged defects in the PowerShift Transmission in those Class Vehicles if .1. the lemon law of the state where the Arbitration Claimant took delivery of the vehicle allows vehicle owners or lessees to pursue Vehicle Repurchase claims after they have sold or returned their vehicles; and .2. the request for Arbitration is filed before the expiration of the applicable state statute of limitations for such a claim or 180 days after the date on which the Class Member sold or returned the Class Vehicle, whichever is earlier.

12. Section II.N.1.d of the Settlement Agreement is amended to read as follows:

d. Extension of Statute of Limitations For Class Members Who Still Own or Lease Class Vehicles At The Time Of An Arbitration Hearing.

Regardless of the applicable state law governing the claims of an Arbitration Claimant who still owns or leases a Class Vehicle as of the time of an Arbitration Hearing, the Statute of Limitations for a Vehicle Repurchase claim brought by such a Claimant that is based in whole or in part on alleged defects in the PowerShift Transmission shall be six (6) years after delivery of the Class Vehicle to the first retail purchaser, or 180 days after the Approval Effective Date, whichever is later.

13. Section II.N.1.e of the Settlement Agreement is amended to read as follows:

e. Vehicle Repurchase Permitted For Class Members Who Still Own or Lease Class Vehicles At The Time of an Arbitration Hearing, Even When Not Available Under State Law.

Even if an Arbitration Claimant who still owns or leases a Class Vehicle at the time of an Arbitration Hearing is not entitled to a Vehicle Repurchase under the applicable lemon law, the Arbitrator may award a Vehicle Repurchase if the notice requirements and statute of limitations conditions established by this Agreement are satisfied and the following conditions are met:

- i. During the period when the Class Vehicle was owned or leased by the Arbitration Claimant and within 5 years or 60,000 miles of delivery of the vehicle to the first retail customer (whichever occurs first), the Class Vehicle was repaired on four (4) separate Service Visits and on each Service Visit the Claimant received a Transmission Hardware Replacement, and:
- ii. The transmission continues to malfunction (or, in the case of former owners or lessees, continued to malfunction at the time the vehicle was disposed of).

The requisite four Transmission Hardware Replacements may be for unrelated conditions or malfunctions.

14. Section II.N.1.g of the Settlement Agreement is amended to read as follows:

Except as provided in Section II.N.3, <u>T</u>the Arbitrator's decision with respect to a Vehicle Repurchase shall be final and binding with no right of appeal by Ford. However, Arbitration Claimants who do not prevail on a claim for a Vehicle Repurchase are entitled to appeal the Arbitrator's award to an Appellate Arbitrator. The Arbitration Claimant seeking appeal must advance the entire cost of the appeal proceeding as set by the Appellate Arbitration Administrator. If the Arbitration Claimant prevails on appeal, Ford shall reimburse all fees and costs charged by the Appellate Arbitration Administrator. The Arbitration Claimant shall not be entitled to reimbursement of fees and costs if Ford prevails on appeal. No other appeals or requests for judicial review shall be allowed.

15. Section II.N.3 of the Settlement Agreement is amended to read as follows:

Except as provided in this Section, and notwithstanding any provision of state law to the contrary, the Arbitrator may not award civil penalties or punitive damages to any Arbitration Claimant. The Arbitrator may award a civil penalty if applicable state law permits a recovery of civil penalties and if the Claimant establishes that Ford (a) knew of its obligation under state law or this Settlement Agreement, as amended, to repurchase the Claimant's vehicle and, (b) prior to the Arbitrator's award, declined to do so after being provided with the Claimant's notice of intent to proceed to arbitration under Section II.N.4. Civil penalties cannot be awarded if Ford reasonably and in good faith believed that the facts did not require an offer to replace the vehicle or refund the lease payments. The award of civil penalties may not exceed the repurchase amount awarded

by the Arbitrator. Ford has the right to appeal any award of civil penalties to the Appellate Arbitrator at its own expense.

16. The first three lines of Section III.F are amended to read as follows:

If this Settlement Agreement, as amended, is finally approved by the Court, a Final Order and Judgment directing the entry of judgment pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b) shall be entered substantially in the form attached to the Amendment to Stipulation and Agreement of Settlementas Exhibit F, as follows:

17. Section IV.O is added to read as follows:

Ford and Plaintiffs shall jointly prepare an informational, single-page postcard advising Class Members that improvements have been made to the Settlement and referring them to the Settlement Website for details. Within 14 days of the Effective Date, the Claims Administrator shall mail the postcard, at Ford's expense, using the same mailing list used for the original class notice. Within 14 days of the Effective Date, Ford and Plaintiffs shall jointly develop a summary of the Settlement, including the new provisions, for inclusion on the Settlement Website.

18. Ford and Plaintiffs shall jointly develop amendments to the Exhibits to the Settlement

Agreement, including the Rules of Arbitration, to the extent necessary to incorporate the terms of

these Amendments.

19. In support of Plaintiffs' motion for final approval of the Settlement, as amended by this

agreement, Ford will submit a declaration establishing what it has paid to date to repurchase

vehicles owned by Class Members who gave notice of intent to arbitrate pursuant to Section

II.N.4.

IT IS SO AGREED.

CAPSTONE LAW APC By:

Date: 1.24.2020

Ryan H. Wu Class Counsel

Case 2:12-cv-08388-AB-FFM Document 281 Filed 01/29/20 Page 12 of 30 Page ID #:6903

lla By:

John Thomas Counsel For Ford Motor Company

24/2020 Date:

PUBLIC CITIZEN

By: Michael Kirkpatrick Date:

Counsel for Lott Objectors

DAVID J. GORBERG AND ASSOCIATES

By:	Date:
David J. Gorberg	

Counsel for Lott Objectors

LAW OFFICES OF GEORGE W. COCHRAN

Ву:	Date:
George W. Cochran Counsel for James DeBolt	
FORD MOTOR COMPANY By: Craig W. Halseth Counsel, Litigation Ford Motor Company	1/24/2020 Date:
By: Brenda Lott	Date:
By: Suzanne Lutz	Date:
By: Carlie Olivant	Date:
By:Gail Slomine	Date:
By: Philip Woloszyn	Date:

DYKEMA GOSSETT, PLLC

By:_____

Date:

John Thomas Counsel For Ford Motor Company

PUBLIC CITIZEN

Ву: ДЛ Millettal Date: 1/24/2020 Michael Kirkpatrick

Counsel for Lott Objectors

DAVID J. GORBERG AND ASSOCIATES

By:

Date:_____

David J. Gorberg Counsel for Lott Objectors

LAW OFFICES OF GEORGE W. COCHRAN

By:	Date:	
George W. Cochran		
Counsel for James DeBolt		
FORD MOTOR COMPANY		
By:	Date:	
Craig W. Halseth		
Counsel, Litigation		
Ford Motor Company		

By:	Date:
Brenda Lott	
By:Suzanne Lutz	Date:
By: Carlie Olivant	Date:
By:Gail Slomine	Date:
Ву:	Date:

DocuSign Envelope ID: 78CB6A98-6F54-477B-B5BE-B63477B9F789 Case 2:12-CV-08388-AB-FFM Document 281 Filed 01/29/20 Page 14 of 30 Page ID #:6905

3y:	Date:
John Thomas	
Counsel For Ford Motor Company	
UBLIC CITIZEN	
3y:	Date:
Michael Kirkpatrick	
Counsel for Lott Objectors	
DAVIDL.gG.QRBERG AND ASSOCIA	TES
By: David J. Gorberg David J. Gorberg	
David J. Gorberg	
Counsel for Lott Objectors	
LAW OFFICES OF GEORGE W. COCH	IRAN
Зу:	Date:
George W. Cochran	
Counsel for James DeBolt	
FORD MOTOR COMPANY	
Ву:	Date:
Craig W. Halseth	
Counsel, Litigation	
Ford Motor Company	
Ву:	Date:
Brenda Lott	
Ву:	Date:
Suzanne Lutz	
Ву:	Date:
Carlie Olivant	
Ву:	Date:
Gail Slomine	
Зу:	Date:
Philip Woloszyn	

By:	Date:
John Thomas Counsel For Ford Motor Company	
PUBLIC CITIZEN	
By: Michael Kirkpatrick Counsel for Lott Objectors	Date:
DAVID J. GORBERG AND ASSOCIA	ATES
By: David J. Gorberg Counsel for Lott Objectors	Date:
LAW OFFICES OF GEORGE W. COC	HRAN
By: <u>s/George W. Cochran</u> George W. Cochran Counsel for James DeBolt	Date: <u>Jan. 28, 2020</u>
FORD MOTOR COMPANY	
By: Craig W. Halseth Counsel, Litigation Ford Motor Company	Date:
By: Brenda Lott	Date:
By:Suzanne Lutz	Date:
By: Carlie Olivant	Date:
By:Gail Slomine	Date:
By: Philip Woloszyn	Date:

DocuSign Envelope ID: 6DFAD6AA,86E3-48CB-AD75-0F12122CE05D CaSe 2:12-CV-08388-AB-FFM Document 281 Filed 01/29/20 Page 16 of 30 Page ID #:6907

By:	Date:	
John Thomas Counsel For Ford Motor Company		
Counsel I of I of the motor Company		
UBLIC CITIZEN		
Зу:	Date:	
Michael Kirkpatrick		
Counsel for Lott Objectors		
DAVID J. GORBERG AND ASSOCIA	ATES	
3y:	Date:	
David J. Gorberg		
Counsel for Lott Objectors		
AW OFFICES OF GEORGE W. COC	HRAN	
By:	Date:	
George W. Cochran		
Counsel for James DeBolt		
FORD MOTOR COMPANY		
Зу:	Date:	
Craig W. Halseth		
Counsel, Litigation		
Ford Motor Company		
	1/23/2020	
By Brenda Liff	Date:	
Brenda Lott		
Зу:	Date:	
Suzanne Lutz		
Зу:	Date:	
Carlie Olivant		
3	Date:	
By: Gail Slomine	Date	
By:	Date:	
Philip Woloszyn		

DocuSign Envelope ID: 402E8DA9-1063-43CC-9829-3C6D457ADC6B CaSe 2:12-CV-08388-AB-FFM Document 281 Filed 01/29/20 Page 17 of 30 Page ID #:6908

By:	Date:
John Thomas Counsel For Ford Motor Company	
1.5	
PUBLIC CITIZEN	
By:	Date:
Michael Kirkpatrick	
Counsel for Lott Objectors	
DAVID J. GORBERG AND ASSOCIA	TES
Ву:	Date:
David J. Gorberg	
Counsel for Lott Objectors	
LAW OFFICES OF GEORGE W. COCH	HRAN
LAW OFFICES OF GEORGE W. COCI	
Bv:	Date:
By: George W. Cochran	Date:
	Date:
George W. Cochran Counsel for James DeBolt	Date:
George W. Cochran Counsel for James DeBolt FORD MOTOR COMPANY	
George W. Cochran Counsel for James DeBolt FORD MOTOR COMPANY By:	
George W. Cochran Counsel for James DeBolt FORD MOTOR COMPANY By: Craig W. Halseth Counsel, Litigation	
George W. Cochran Counsel for James DeBolt FORD MOTOR COMPANY By:	
George W. Cochran Counsel for James DeBolt FORD MOTOR COMPANY By: Craig W. Halseth Counsel, Litigation Ford Motor Company By:	Date:
Counsel for James DeBolt FORD MOTOR COMPANY By: Craig W. Halseth Counsel, Litigation Ford Motor Company By: Brenda Lott Pocusigned by:	Date:
George W. Cochran Counsel for James DeBolt FORD MOTOR COMPANY By: Craig W. Halseth Counsel, Litigation Ford Motor Company By: Brenda Lott Docusigned by:	Date: Date: 1/23/2020
George W. Cochran Counsel for James DeBolt FORD MOTOR COMPANY By: Craig W. Halseth Counsel, Litigation Ford Motor Company By: Brenda Lott Docusigned by:	Date:
George W. Cochran Counsel for James DeBolt FORD MOTOR COMPANY By: Craig W. Halseth Counsel, Litigation Ford Motor Company By: By: Brenda Lott Dorusigned by: Dorusigned by: Dorusigned by: Suzanne Lutz	Date: Date: 1/23/2020 Date:
George W. Cochran Counsel for James DeBolt FORD MOTOR COMPANY By: Craig W. Halseth Counsel, Litigation Ford Motor Company By: By: Brenda Lott Docusigned by: Docusigned by: Docusigned by: Suzanne Lutz	Date: Date: 1/23/2020 Date:
George W. Cochran Counsel for James DeBolt FORD MOTOR COMPANY By: Craig W. Halseth Counsel, Litigation Ford Motor Company By: Brenda Lott Brenda Lott Docusigned by: Junton 1. Junto Suzanne Lutz By: Carlie Olivant	Date: Date: Date: Date:
George W. Cochran Counsel for James DeBolt FORD MOTOR COMPANY By: Craig W. Halseth Counsel, Litigation Ford Motor Company By: Brenda Lott Docusigned by: Docusigned by: Suzanne Lutz By: Carlie Olivant	Date: Date: Date: Date:
George W. Cochran Counsel for James DeBolt FORD MOTOR COMPANY By: Craig W. Halseth Counsel, Litigation Ford Motor Company By: Brenda Lott Brenda Lott Dorresigned by: Dorresigned by: Suzanne Lutz By: Carlie Olivant By:	Date:

DocuSign Envelope ID: B46B3CFB-6F18-4F85-A157-AB00A6816EE2 Case 2:12-CV-08388-AB-FFM Document 281 Filed 01/29/20 Page 18 of 30 Page ID #:6909

By:	Date:
John Thomas Counsel For Ford Motor Company	
UBLIC CITIZEN	
3y:	Date:
Michael Kirkpatrick	
Counsel for Lott Objectors	
DAVID J. GORBERG AND ASSOCIA	TEC
By: David J. Gorberg	Date
Counsel for Lott Objectors	
LAW OFFICES OF GEORGE W. COC	HRAN
Зу:	Date:
George W. Cochran	
Counsel for James DeBolt	
FORD MOTOR COMPANY	
By:	Date:
Craig W. Halseth	
Counsel, Litigation	
Ford Motor Company	
Зу:	Date:
Brenda Lott	
Зу:	Date:
Suzanne Lutz	
a Al	1/23/2020 Date:
By EBUS9BE9F8FF45C Carlie Olivant	Date:
By:	Date:
By: Gail Slomine	Date:
By: Gail Slomine By:	

DocuSign Envelope ID: 97DA018D-D451-48FB-9CED-0037A748A382 Case 2:12-cV-08388-AB-FFM Document 281 Filed 01/29/20 Page 19 of 30 Page ID #:6910

Date:
Date:
ATES
Date:
HRAN
Date:
Date:
Date:
Date:
Date:
1/23/2020 Date:
Date:

DocuSign Envelope ID: BF50C2FD-203C-4E81-974E-621D1135701C CaSe 2:12-CV-08388-AB-FFM Document 281 Filed 01/29/20 Page 20 of 30 Page ID #:6911

By:	Date:
John Thomas	
Counsel For Ford Motor Company	
UBLIC CITIZEN	
Зу:	Date:
Michael Kirkpatrick	
Counsel for Lott Objectors	
DAVID J. GORBERG AND ASSOCIA	TES
Зу:	Date:
David J. Gorberg	
Counsel for Lott Objectors	
LAW OFFICES OF GEORGE W. COCI	HDAN
LAW OFFICES OF GEORGE W. COC	
Ву:	Date:
George W. Cochran	
Counsel for James DeBolt	
FORD MOTOR COMPANY	
FORD MOTOR COMPANY By:	Date:
By: Craig W. Halseth	Date:
By: Craig W. Halseth Counsel, Litigation	Date:
By: Craig W. Halseth	Date:
By: Craig W. Halseth Counsel, Litigation Ford Motor Company	
By: Craig W. Halseth Counsel, Litigation Ford Motor Company	
By: Craig W. Halseth Counsel, Litigation Ford Motor Company By: Brenda Lott By:	Date:
By: Craig W. Halseth Counsel, Litigation Ford Motor Company By:	Date:
By: Craig W. Halseth Counsel, Litigation Ford Motor Company By: Brenda Lott By: Suzanne Lutz By:	Date: Date:
By: Craig W. Halseth Counsel, Litigation Ford Motor Company By: Brenda Lott By: Suzanne Lutz	Date: Date:
By: Craig W. Halseth Counsel, Litigation Ford Motor Company By: Brenda Lott By: Suzanne Lutz By: Carlie Olivant By:	Date: Date: Date:
By: Craig W. Halseth Counsel, Litigation Ford Motor Company By: Brenda Lott By: Suzanne Lutz By: Carlie Olivant By: Gail Slamine	<pre> Date:</pre> Date: Date:
By: Craig W. Halseth Counsel, Litigation Ford Motor Company By: Brenda Lott By: Suzanne Lutz By: Carlie Olivant By:	<pre> Date:</pre> Date: Date:

Case 2:12-cv-08388-AB-FFM Document 281 Filed 01/29/20 Page 21 of 30 Page ID #:6912

By: James "Jason" DeBolt	Date: 1/24/2020
By: Omar Vargas	Date:
By: Robert Bertone	Date:
By:	Date:
By: Sharon Heberling	Date:
By: Kevin Klipfel	Date:
By: Andrea Klipfel	Date:
By: Maureen Cusick	Date:
By: Tonya Patze	Date:
By: Patricia Soltesiz	Date:
By: Lindsay Schmidt	Date:

DocuSign Envelope ID: 2B45EC5C-B1ED-4659-BB73-C8B097152036 Case 2:12-CV-08388-AB-FFM Document 281 Filed 01/29/20 Page 22 of 30 Page ID #:6913

Philip Woloszyn	
By:	Date:
James "Jason" DeBolt By: Omar Vargas 44B88E95779C4BF	Date: 1/24/2020
By: Robert Bertone	Date:
By: Michelle Harris	Date:
By: Sharon Heberling	Date:
By: Kim Khight	Date: <u>1/24/2020</u>
By: Andrea Klipfel	Date:
By: Maurun (usick	Date: <u>1/24/2020</u>
By: Jonya Patze EAC58444E29D4F2	Date: <u>1/24/2020</u>
By Patricia Soltisizy	Date:
By:	Date:1/24/2020

DocuSign Envelope ID: B9FA1830-3E33-49D8-B936-897E65791759 CaSe 2:12-CV-08388-AB-FFM Document 281 Filed 01/29/20 Page 23 of 30 Page ID #:6914

Philip Woloszyn	
By:	Date:
James "Jason" DeBolt By: Omar Vargas	Date:
By: Robert Bertone	Date:
By: Michaelbertarris Michaelbertarris	Date: <u>1/24/2020</u>
By: Sharon-Hedepling	Date:
By: Kevin Klipfel	Date:
By: Andrea Klipfel	Date:
By: Maureen Cusick	Date:
By: Tonya Patze	Date:
By: Patricia Soltesiz	Date:
By:	Date:

DocuSign Envelope ID: 967E294E-B9FB-4A4A-855B-56018FED7E45 Case 2:12-CV-08388-AB-FFM Document 281 Filed 01/29/20 Page 24 of 30 Page ID #:6915

Philip Woloszyn	
By:	Date:
James "Jason" DeBolt By: Omar Vargas	Date:
By: Robert Bertone	Date:
By: Michelle Harris	Date:
By: Sharon Heberling	Date:
By: Kevin Klipfel	Date:
By:	Date: 1/24/2020
By: Maureen Cusick	Date:
By: Tonya Patze	Date:
By: Patricia Soltesiz	Date:
By:	Date:

DocuSign Envelope ID: B91E074C-7FBD-4F01-903C-8CD91898108F Case 2:12-cV-08388-AB-FFM Document 281 Filed 01/29/20 Page 25 of 30 Page ID #:6916

Lindsay Schmidt	
By:	Date: <u>1/24/2020</u>
By:	Date:
By: Patricia Schwennker	Date:
By:	Date: <u>1/24/2020</u>
By: DFF8B24CD7FD4CB	Date:
By: Abigail Fisher	Date:

DocuSign Envelope ID: B9FA1830-3E33-49D8-B936-897E65791759 Case 2:12-cV-08388-AB-FFM Document 281 Filed 01/29/20 Page 26 of 30 Page ID #:6917

Lindsay Schmidt

By: Joshua Bruno	Date:
By:	Date:
By: Patricia Schwennker Patricia Schwennker	Date:1/24/2020
By: Jason Porterfield	Date:
By: Jamie Porterfield	Date:
By: Abigail Fisher	Date:

DocuSign Envelope ID: 2B45EC5C-B1ED 4659-BB73-C8B097152036 Case 2:12-CV-08388-AB-FFM Document 281 Filed 01/29/20 Page 27 of 30 Page ID #:6918

Lindsay Schmidt

By: Joshua Bruno	Date:
By: Virginia Otte	Date:
By: Patricia Schwennker	Date:
By: Jason Porterfield	Date:
By: Jamie Porterfield	Date:
By: Alexand C. FISher	Date:

Case 2:	12-cv-08388-AB-FFM Document 281 Filed 01/29/20 Page 28 of 30 Page ID #:6919
1	
1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT)
3	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4	I am amployed in the State of California, County of Los Angeles, Lam over
5	I am employed in the State of California, County of Los Angeles. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within suit; my business address is 1840 Century Park East, Suite 450, Los Angeles, California 90067.
6	On January 29, 2020 I served the documents described as:
7	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF RYAN H. WU IN SUPPORT OF
8	PLAINTIFFS' RENEWED MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF THE CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT
9	on the interested parties in this action by sending on the interested parties in this
10	on the interested parties in this action by sending on the interested parties in this action by sending [] the original [or] [\checkmark] a true copy thereof [\checkmark] to interested parties as follows [or] []] as stated on the attached service list:
11	BY MAIL (ENCLOSED IN A SEALED ENVELOPE): I deposited the envelope(s) for mailing in the ordinary course of business at Los
12	Angeles, California. I am "readily familiar" with this firm's practice of
13	collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, sealed envelopes are deposited with the U.S. Postal Service that same day in the ordinary course of business with postage thereon
14	 that same day in the ordinary course of business with postage thereon fully prepaid at Los Angeles. California. BY E-MAIL: I hereby certify that this document was served from Los
15	Angeles, California, by e-mail delivery on the parties listed herein at their most recent known e-mail address or e-mail of record in this
16	action.
17	VIA CM/ECF: I hereby certify that this document was served via the USDC CM/ECF on the parties Notice of this filing was served by e- mail to all parties by operation of the Court's electronic filing system or
18	by mail to anyone unable to accept electronic filing as indicated on the Notice of Electronic Filing. I certify that the parties or their counsel are registered as ECF filers and that they will be served by the CM/ECF
19 20	registered as ECF filers and that they will be served by the CM/ECF system.
20	BY PERSONAL SERVICE : I caused to be delivered by messenger such envelope(s) by hand to the office of the addressee(s).
21	BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY : I am "readily familiar" with this firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for
22	overnight delivery. Under that practice, overnight packages are enclosed in a sealed envelope with a packing slip attached thereto fully
23	prepaid. The packages are picked up by the carrier at our offices or delivered by our office to a designated collection site.
24	(FEDERAL) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.
25	(STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct
26	Executed this 29 th of January 2020 at Los Angeles, California.
27	/s/ Maria Olmos
28	Maria Olmos
	PROOF OF SERVICE

SERV	ICE LIST
John Mark Thomas, Esq. David M. George, Esq. Stephen C. Borgsdorf, Esq. DYKEMA GOSSETT, PLLC 2723 South State Street, Suite 400 Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104 Email: <u>ithomas@dykema.com</u> Email: <u>dgeorge@dykema.com</u>	Attorneys for Ford Motor Company
Fred J. Fresard, Esq. Krista L. Lenart, Esq. DYKEMA GOSSETT, PLLC 39577 Woodward Suite 300 Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304 Email: <u>FFresard@dykema.com</u> Email: <u>klenart@dykema.com</u>	Attorneys for Ford Motor Company
Tamara A. Bush, Esq. DYKEMA GOSSETT, PLLC 333 South Grand Avenue Suite 2100 Los Angeles, CA 90071 By email Email: <u>TBush@dykema.com</u>	Attorneys for Ford Motor Company
Nancy E Gray Gray and Associates PC 11500 West Olympic Boulevard Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90064 310-452-1211 Fax: 888-729-2402 Email: ngray@grayfirm.com	Attorney for Objectors Brenda Lott, Suzanne Lutz, Carlie Olivant, Gail Slomine, & Philip Woloszyn

Case 2	Case 2:12-cv-08388-AB-FFM Document 281 Filed 01/29/20 Page 30 of 30 Page ID #:6921			
1 2 3 4 5	David J Gorberg David J Gorberg and Associates 103 Sibley Avenue Ardmore, PA 19003 215-665-7660 Fax: 215-563-8738 Email: david@mylemon.com	Pro Hac Vice attorney for Objectors Brenda Lott, Suzanne Lutz, Carlie Olivant, Gail Slomine, & Philip Woloszyn		
6 7 8 9 10 11	Michael T Kirkpatrick Public Citizen Litigation Group 1600 - 20th Street NW Washington, DC 20009 202-588-1000 Fax: 2202-588-7795 Email: mkirkpatrick@citizen.org	Pro Hac Vice attorney for Objectors Brenda Lott, Suzanne Lutz, Carlie Olivant, Gail Slomine, & Philip Woloszyn		
12 13 14 15 16	John Neil Gieleghem Consumer Legal Remedies APC 153 1-2 North Arnaz Drive Beverly Hills, CA 90211 310-213-1398 Email: ng@clattorney.com	Attorney for Objector Jason DeBolt		
17 18 19 20 21	Dani K Liblang The Liblang Law Firm PC 346 Park Street Suite 200 Birmingham, MI 48009 248-540-9270 Fax: 248-433-1989 Email: danil@lemonlawlawyers.com	Pro Hac Vice Attorney for Objector Jason DeBolt		
22 23 24 25 26 27	Kenneth A Stern Stern Law PLLC 41850 West Eleven Mile Road Suite 121 Novi, MI 48375 248-347-7315 Fax: 248-305-3250 Email: ken@sternlawonline.com	Pro Hac Vice Attorney for Objector Jason DeBolt		
28	PROOF	OF SERVICE		